Clinical relevance evaluation of a novel homologous recombination deficiency CE-IVD decentralized solution that identifies ovarian cancer patients that could potentially benefit from treatment with PARP inhibitors

A. Buisson*1, P. Saintigny1, A. Harlé2, D. Vacirca3, M. Barberis3, P. Gilson2, C. Roma4, F. Bergantino4, C. Grimm5, L. Müllauer6, P. Harter7, S. Pignata8, A. Gonzalez-Martin9, C. Schauer10, K. Fujiwara11, I. Vergote12, N. Colombo3, E. Pujade-Lauraine13, I. Treilleux14, I. Ray-Coquard15, on behalf of the PAOLA Study Group and ENGOT Intergroup Consortium. ¹ Département de Biopathologie, Centre Léon Bérard; Lyon, France. ² Département de Biopathologie, Institute of Oncology; Milan, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori-IRCCS-Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁵ Gynecologic Cancer Unit, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna; Austria. ⁶ Department de Biopathologie, Institute of Oncology; Milan, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori-IRCCS-Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori-IRCCS-Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Italy. ⁴ Istituto Nazionale Tumori IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, I-80131; Naples, Ital ¹³ Centre Léon Berard, University Hospital Leuven, European Union. ¹³ Arcagy Gineco; Paris, France. ¹⁴ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon; France. ¹⁴ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon; France. ¹⁵ Centre Léon Berard and University Hospital Leuven, European Union. ¹³ Arcagy Gineco; Paris, France. ¹⁴ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon; France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Bérard, University International Medical Center; Japan. ¹² University Hospital Leuven, Belgium, European Union. ¹³ Arcagy Gineco; Paris, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon; France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon; France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard and University Claude Bernard Lyon I; Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard August I (Lyon, France. ¹⁴ Centre Léon Berard I *Corresponding author: Dr. Adrien Buisson. Adrien.BUISSON@lyon.unicancer.fr

1 Highlights



The novel deep learning-based, decentralized SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution (SOPHIA GENETICS SA) determines homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) status in ovarian cancer samples.



The PFS clinical relevance* metric was **non-inferior** when HRD status was determined with the SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution compared to Myriad myChoice[®] CDx.



The SOPHiA DDM™ Dx HRD Solution **was highly concordant** (**overall percentage** of agreement [OPA] = 93.03%) with the reference method, Myriad myChoice[®] CDx.



This multicenter validation study demonstrates the **clinical utility of the CE-IVD-certified, decentralized SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution** for the accurate identification of HRD-positive ovarian cancer patients that could potentially benefit from first-line maintenance treatment with PARP inhibitors (Clinical Decision Support Only).

Results

Analytical concordance of the SOPHiA DDM™	Dx HRD Solution
with a validated reference method	

- GI status overall percent agreement was high (93.03%) (Table 2, Figure 1).
- The overall rejection rate was low (4.09%).

Performance metric	Percent (95% CI)	
Overall percent agreement (OPA)	93.03% (89.48 - 95.44)	=
Negative percent agreement (NPA)	96.55% (92.18 - 98.52)	•
Positive percent agreement (PPA)	89.44% (83.30 - 93.49)	
Overall rejection rate ^a	4.09%	

Table 2. Concordance of GI status between SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution and Myriad MyChoice[®] CDx (n = 287). ^a n = 318 (all samples tested with both assays). GI, genomic instability.

Decentralized analysis

• No significant difference in GI status OPA was observed between samples processed by SOPHiA GENETICS (n = 210, OPA = 92.38% [95% CI: 87.98% - 95.26%]) or by 4 independent clinical laboratories (n = 77, OPA = 94.81% [87.39% - 97.96%]), supporting a decentralized approach to HRD analysis.





Figure 1. Concordance of GI index/score between SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution and Myriad MyChoice[®] CDx (n = 116). GI, genomic instability; GII, genomic integrity index.

*Preliminary results only based on retrospective correlation findings outside the context of a clinical information according to the clinical research regulations in each country, and patient consent was obtained.

References: 1. PAOLA-1 ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT02477644. Ray-Coquard I, Pautier P, Pignata S, et al. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(25):2416-2428. **Disclosures:** Research collaboration grants provided by SOPHiA GENETICS SA.

Acknowledgements: Thanks to all the patients and their families, investigators, CRA, and pathologists at each site. Thanks to ARCAGY GINECO Central laboratory and Translational Research Team that allowed this research. Support was provided by Ana Marques and Grégoire André, SOPHiA GENETICS SA. Scientific writing support was provided by Ana Marques and Grégoire André, SOPHiA GENETICS SA. Scientific writing support was provided by Ana Marques and Grégoire André **Financial support:** Study sponsored by SOPHiA GENETICS SA.

2 Background

- Homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) is a complex biomarker with predictive value in **ovarian cancer**. A positive HRD status has been shown to be predictive of response to poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (**PARPi**) in the context of first-line maintenance therapy for advanced ovarian cancer (PAOLA-1 clinical trial).
- HRD assays can assess the causes of HRD (e.g., pathogenic alterations in homologous recombination repair [HRR] genes) and/or the consequences (e.g., genomic instability).
- Here, we present analytical performance and clinical relevance* results using Genomic Integrity Index to define HRD status (CE-IVD) with the **SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution**, a decentralized NGS assay that evaluates the causes and consequences of HRD in a single workflow.

3 Methods

Solution combines a panel and low-pass (lpWGS)

- Capture panel: Detects SNVs and Indels in 28 genes involved in the HRR pathway, including BRCA1 and BRCA2 (Clinical Decision Support Only). • **lpWGS:** Leverages a proprietary deep-learning algorithm to recognize patterns of genomic instability in lpWGS profiles
- (CE-IVD).

Clinical relevance^{*} of the SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution using PAOLA-1 samples

• The PFS validation metric was non-inferior with the SOPHiA DDM^M Dx HRD Solution compared to Myriad myChoice[®] CDx on the subset of 195 PAOLA-1 samples with clinical response data (**Table 3, Figure 2**).

20	τu	00	00
yriad m	yChoice® C	Dx GI sco	ore

	HRD Solution
Progression-free survival in patients with a	GI positive status
Number of samples	88
Hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.49 (0.28 - 0.85)
Median survival time, months (95% CI)	
Placebo + bevacizumab	15.9 (13.4 – 38.6)
Olaparib + bevacizumab	33.3 (21.9 - NA)
Progression-free survival in patients with a	GI non-positive st
Number of samples	107
Hazard ratio (95% CI)	0.88 (0.55 - 1.38)
Median survival time, months (95% CI)	
Placebo + bevacizumab	15.1 (10.4 - 22.0)
Olaparib + bevacizumab	16.8 (14.9 - 22.1)

Table 3. Progression-free survival in PAOLA-1 patients stratified according to SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution GI status and Myriad MyChoice[®] CDx GI+BRCA status (n = 195). GI, genomic instability.

The SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD

hybridization-based capture Whole Genome Sequencing

Study design

- Multicenter performance and clinical relevance^{*} studies of the SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx I Solution used samples collected through partnerships during the SOPHiA GENET early-access program and during the randomized, double-blind, Phase III PAOLAclinical trial¹.[†]
- PAOLA-1/ENGOT-ov25 was a randomized, first-line, phase 3 trial for patients with advanced ovarian cancer that compared maintenance treatment with olaparib + bevacizumab to placebo + bevacizumab. The primary objective was to evaluate progression-free survival (PFS). The study was sponsored by ARCAGY research wit GINECO as ENGOT group leader.
- lpWGS libraries (x1 coverage, ~10 million reads, Illumina) were generated from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) ovarian cancer samples (**Table 1**).

Analytical performance

- Assay concordance was determined based on a comparison of GI status with Myriad myChoice[®] CDx (Myriad Genetic Laboratories, Inc.; US FDA-approved).
- Samples were required to have DNA quality number (DQN)-300bp ≥3, tumor content >30%, ≥50 ng input DNA, and an NGS library yield ≥250 ng.

PFS in patients with a **SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution** 0.75 **GI positive status** 0.50 Log–rank **GI+BRCA** status GI status according to 0.25 p = 0.0096according to Myriad **SOPHIA DDM™ Dx MyChoice[®] CDx** Time Number at risk 🔶 Olaparib + bevacizumab Placebo + bevacizumab Treatment arm **0.58** (0.35 - 0.98) 1.00 PFS in patients with a 15.4 (13.0 - 38.6) **SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution** 29.8 (21.0 - NA) 0.75 GI non-positive status tatus 0.50 Log–rank 100 0.25 p = 0.57 **0.74** (0.46 - 1.19) 0.00Time 15.8 (12.3 - 19.3) Number at risk 17.6 (15.5 - 22.1) — Placebo + bevacizumab Treatment arm — Olaparib + bevacizumab instability; PFS, progression-free survival.

Poster #**PA-065**

HRD	Sample	Processed across 4 independent laboratories	Processed at SOPHiA GENETICS laboratory	Total
FICS -1	Analytical performance stuc	ły		
	Overall	84	235	319
)	Myriad GI status available	77	210	287 ^a
	Myriad GI score available	75	41	116 ^a
ith	Clinical relevance* study			
	PAOLA-1 clinical trial	NA	195	195 ^a

Table 1. Details of samples used to test the analytical and clinical relevance^{*} of SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution. ^a Samples had GI conclusive results with both assays. GI, genomic instability; Myriad, Myriad MyChoice[®] CDx.

Clinical relevance*

• PFS for patients taking olaparib + bevacizumab or placebo + bevacizumab was assessed and analyzed for patients stratified according to GI status using the SOPHiA DDM[™] Dx HRD Solution and according to GI+BRCA status using Myriad myChoice[®] CDx.

Samples were required to have DQN-300bp ≥3.



Figure 2. Progression-free survival in a PAOLA-1 sub-cohort of patients stratified according to SOPHiA DDMTM Dx HRD Solution GI status or Myriad MyChoice[®] CDx GI+BRCA status (n = 195). GI, genomic